

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/608,796		BIERINGER, MATHIAS		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Charles R. Kasenge		2125		

All Participants:

(1) Charles R. Kasenge.

(2) Gerard Messina.

Date of Interview: 22 September 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10 am

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
n/a

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The attorney of record confirmed the case has been abandoned.

Part III.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

LEO PICARD

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)